

NPS55-90-18

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

Monterey, California





HEAVY-TRAFFIC ANALYSIS OF MULTI-TYPE QUEUEING UNDER PROBABILISTICALLY LOAD-PREFERENTIAL SERVICE ORDER

Donald P. Gaver J. A. Morrison

August 1990

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Prepared for:

Naval Postgraduate School,

Monterey, CA 93955

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL, MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA

Rear Admiral R. W. West, Jr. Superintendent

Harrison Shull Provost

This report was prepared in conjunction with research funded under the Naval Postgraduate School Research Council Research Program.

This report was prepared by:

ONALD P. GAVER

Professor of Operations Research

Reviewed by:

Released by:

PETER PLUMBLE

Professor and Chairman

Department of Operations Research

Dean of Faculty and Graduate Studies

Unclassified

Security Classification of this page			
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			
1a Report Security Classification UNCLASSIFIED	1b Restrictive Markings		
2a Security Classification Authority	3 Distribution Availability of Report		
2b Declassification/Downgrading Schedule	Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited		
4 Performing Organization Report Number(s) NPS55-90-18	5 Monitoring Organization Report Number(s)		
6a Name of Performing Organization Naval Postgraduate School (If Applicable) OR	7a Name of Monitoring Organization		
6c Address (city, state, and ZIP code) Monterey, CA 93943-5000	7b Address (city, state, and ZIP code)		
8a Name of Funding/Sponsoring Organization 8b Office Symbol	9 Procurement Instrument Identification Number		
Naval Postgraduate School (If Applicable)	0&MN, Direct Funding		
8c Address (city, state, and ZIP code) Monterey, California	10 Source of Funding Numbers		
•	Program Element Number Project No Task No Work Unit Accession No		
Monterey, CA 93943			
11 Title (Include Security Classification) Heavy-Traffic Analysi	s of Multi-Type Queueing Under Probabilistically		
Load-Preferential Service Order			
12 Personal Author(s) Gaver, D. P. and Morrison, J. A.			
13a Type of Report 13b Time Covered From To	14 Date of Report (year, month,day) 15 Page Count 1990, August		
16 Supplementary Notation The views expressed in this paper			
policy or position of the Department of Defense or the U	S. Government.		
17 Cosati Codes 18 Subject Terms (continue on re	verse if necessary and identify by block number)		
Field Group Subgroup Asymptotics, dynamic prior	rity rules, logistics, repair problems		
19 Abstract (continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block	number		
A model of queueing for a single server by several types of customers (messages, or jobs), with a simple dynamic priority rule, is considered. The rule is equivalent to selecting the next server occupant type with a probability proportional to the number of that type enqueued. The situation studied here occurs in fields such as computer and communication system performance analysis, in operational analysis of logistics systems, and in the repair of elements of a manufacturing system. It is assumed that the population sizes of the items of different types are large, and that the mean service rates are correspondingly large, in comparison with the service demand rates. Moreover, it is assumed that the system is in heavy traffic. Under these assumptions, asymptotic approximations are derived for the steady-state means and covariances of the number of items of different types either waiting or being served. Numerical comparisons with simulated results show excellent agreement.			
20 Distribution/Availability of Abstract	21 Abstract Security Classification Unclassified		
X unclassified/unlimited same as report DTIC users 22a Name of Responsible Individual D. P. Gaver	22b Telephone (Include Area code) 22c Office Symbol (408) 646-2605 OR/Gv, OR/Jc		
DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 APR edition may be			

All other editions are obsolete

Unclassified

Heavy-Traffic Analysis of Multi-Type Queueing Under Probabilistically Load-Preferential Service Order

D. P. Gaver

Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943

J. A. Morrison

AT&T Bell Laboratories Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974

ABSTRACT

A model of queueing for a single server by several types of customers (messages, or jobs), with a simple dynamic priority rule, is considered. The rule is equivalent to selecting the next server occupant type with a probability proportional to the number of that type enqueued. The situation studied here occurs in fields such as computer and communication system performance analysis, in operational analysis of logistics systems, and in the repair of elements of a manufacturing system. It is assumed that the population sizes of the items of different types are large, and that the mean service rates are correspondingly large, in comparison with the service demand rates. Moreover, it is assumed that the system is in heavy traffic. Under these assumptions, asymptotic approximations are derived for the steady-state means and covariances of the number of items of different types either waiting or being served. Numerical comparisons with simulated results show excellent agreement.

Key words. asymptotics, dynamic priority rules, logistics, repair problems

AMS (MOS) subject classifications. 60K30, 34E20, 90B05



Acces	sion For	
DTIC Unang	GRA&I TAB counced fication	
By		
Ava	lability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special	
A-1		

Heavy-Traffic Analysis of Multi-Type Queueing Under Probabilistically Load-Preferential Service Order

D. P. Gaver

Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943

J. A. Morrison

AT&T Bell Laboratories Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with the analysis of queueing for a single server by several types of customers (messages, or jobs) under heavy traffic. A simple dynamic priority rule is presumed to schedule next service among waiting customers when the server becomes available. The rule is equivalent to selecting the next server occupant type with a probability proportional to the number of that type enqueued. For generalizations, with special attention to logistics and repair problems, see Gaver, Jacobs and Pilnick [1]. Problems similar to that considered here have been studied by Towsley [2], Yao and Buzacott [3] and by others.

The situation studied here occurs in fields such as computer and communication system performance analysis, and also in operational analysis of logistics systems. It is also possible to find it in manufacturing, where each of several types of machines suffers occasional breakdown and is eligible for repair. The total productivity of the system depends on having a sufficient number of each machine type in operation; the present scheduling discipline assists in this objective, although others (to be discussed in a subsequent paper) are more effective. Note that the current discipline closely resembles a processor-sharing scheduling rule which is frequently used for controlling multiprocessors

in computer systems.

In a specific model formulation, there are r types of demand-producing items, and K_i is the population size of items of type i. If $N_i(t)$ denotes the number of items of type i waiting for service, or being served, at time t, then the probability that a new type i demand for service is initiated in (t, t+dt) is $\lambda_i[K_i-N_i(t)]dt+o(dt)$, so that λ_i is the service demand rate for items of type i. The service time of items of type i is exponentially distributed with rate ν_i , so that the mean service time is $1/\nu_i$; service times are all independent. Thus all queue lengths are finite $(N_i(t) \le K_i)$ and a long-run or steady-state distribution will always exist, as is true of the simple repairman problem [4].

A particular example of the probabilistically load-preferential scheduling rules, e.g. considered by Gaver, Jacobs and Pilnick [1], is the following. Let $N(\tau+) = (N_1(\tau+), N_2(\tau+), ..., N_r(\tau+))$ denote the state of the system at time $\tau+$ immediately after the service of an item is completed. Then, for $N(\tau+) \neq 0$, an item of type i is selected for service with probability

$$q_i(\mathbf{N}(\tau+)) = \frac{c_i N_i(\tau+)}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^r c_j N_j(\tau+)},$$
(1.1)

where $c_j > 0$ for $j \in (1, 2, ..., r)$. Such a service schedule gives preference, with appropriate weights, to those types of items of which there are more waiting for service. If one of the queues gets long compared to the others, then it is likely that an item from that queue will be selected for service next. Hence this scheduling rule may be regarded as a variant of serving the longest queue first.

For $N(t) \neq 0$, let I(t) denote the type of item which is being served at time t. It is clear from the assumptions made that $(N(t), I(t), t \geq 0)$ is a finite-state-space Markov process in continuous time with integer-valued vector state space. In principle, the joint probability distributions

$$P\{N(t) = \mathbf{n}, I(t) = i \mid N(0) = \mathbf{n}(0)\} = P_i(\mathbf{n}, t; \mathbf{n}(0)), \quad i \in (1, 2, ..., r),$$
(1.2)

where $0 \le n_j \le K_j$, $j \in (1, 2, ..., r)$, can be found, given the initial condition $\mathbf{n}(0)$, by solving a system of $r\prod_{j=1}^{r}(K_j+1)$ linear differential equations, the Kolmogorov forward equations [4]. All probabilistic quantities of interest can be found from such equations, or similar backward equations. In practice such solutions involve extensive computing, so it is of interest to proceed otherwise.

To simplify the analysis, we make two basic assumptions. The first is that the system is large, i.e. that the population sizes $K_i = a \alpha_i$, where a >> 1 and $\alpha_i = O(1)$. Since a fast server is needed to accommodate a large system, it is also assumed that $\nu_i = a \mu_i$, where $\mu_i = O(1)$. The second assumption is that the system is in heavy traffic circumstances, meaning that $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i \alpha_i / \mu_i > 1$. This condition ensures that the server is extremely unlikely to be idle. Under these circumstances, Gaver, Jacobs and Pilnick [1] derived a diffusion approximation, and obtained numerical results for the time-dependent problem.

In this paper we consider the steady-state problem under the same circumstances, and derive asymptotic approximations for a >> 1 to the means and covariances of the number of items of different types in the system, i.e. either waiting or being served. The lowest order approximation to the means agrees with that obtained from the diffusion approximation [1]. In this paper we also derive the first order correction term to the means. Our approximation to the covariances differs from that obtained by the diffusion

approximation, when the service rates v_i are unequal. However, numerical results indicate that the difference is not very large.

In §2 we formulate the problem, and introduce generating functions. We then introduce the scalings corresponding to a large system in heavy traffic, and look for an asymptotic expansion in inverse powers of a. The leading term in the expansion is obtained in §2, and the first order correction term is derived in §3. Asymptotic approximations to the means and covariances of the number of items of different types in the system are obtained in §4. Numerical comparisons, which show that the asymptotic and simulated results are in excellent agreement, are presented in §5. In the appendix we give an alternate derivation of the lowest-order asymptotic approximation to the means and covariances, and indicate how we obtain the first order correction to the means by this method. We also derive the lowest-order asymptotic approximation to the joint probability density function.

2. AN ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS

Without special boundary conditions the setup described in the previous section is an irreducible finite Markov chain and hence possesses a steady-state or long-run solution

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} P_i(\mathbf{n}, t; \mathbf{n}(0)) = p_i(\mathbf{n}), \qquad i \in (1, 2, ..., r),$$
(2.1)

where $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, ..., n_r)$. We note that $p_i(\mathbf{n}) = 0$ if $n_i = 0$, and $p_i(\mathbf{n}) = 0$ unless $0 \le \mathbf{n} \le \mathbf{K}$, $\mathbf{n} \ne \mathbf{0}$, where $\mathbf{K} = (K_1, K_2, ..., K_r)$. The steady-state probability that the system is empty is denoted by $p(\mathbf{0})$. For $0 \le \mathbf{n} \le \mathbf{K}$, $\mathbf{n} \ne \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{n} \ne \mathbf{K}$, $q_i(\mathbf{n})$ is the probability that, when an item departs and leaves the system in state \mathbf{n} , then an item of type i goes into service. We assume, for the time being, only that $q_i(\mathbf{n}) = 0$ if $n_i = 0$, $q_i(\mathbf{n}) = 1$ if $n_j = 0$ for $j \ne i$ and $1 \le n_i \le K_i$, and $\sum_{i=1}^r q_i(\mathbf{n}) = 1$ for $0 \le \mathbf{n} \le \mathbf{K}$, $\mathbf{n} \ne \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{n} \ne \mathbf{K}$.

We denote by e_i the vector with components $e_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$. Then, in a standard manner, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j} K_{j} p(0) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \nu_{j} p_{j}(\mathbf{e}_{j}), \qquad (2.2)$$

$$\left[\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j}(K_{j} - \delta_{ij}) + \nu_{i}\right] p_{i}(\mathbf{e}_{i}) = \lambda_{i} K_{i} p(\mathbf{0}) + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \nu_{j} p_{j}(\mathbf{e}_{i} + \mathbf{e}_{j}), \qquad (2.3)$$

and, for $n_i \neq 0$, $n \neq e_i$ and $0 \leq n \leq K$,

$$\left[\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j}(K_{j}-n_{j}) + \nu_{i}\right] p_{i}(\mathbf{n})$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j}(K_{j}-n_{j}+1) p_{i}(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_{j}) + q_{i}(\mathbf{n}) \sum_{j=1}^{r} \nu_{j} p_{j}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_{j}). \tag{2.4}$$

We introduce the generating functions

$$u_i(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{0 \le \mathbf{n} \le K} p_i(\mathbf{n}) x_1^{n_1} \cdot \cdot \cdot x_r^{n_r}, \qquad (2.5)$$

and note that $u_i(0) = 0$. We now multiply equations (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) by 1, x_i and $x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_r^{n_r}$, respectively, and sum on i and n. It is found that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j} (1-x_{j}) \left\{ K_{j} \left[p(\mathbf{0}) + \sum_{i=1}^{r} u_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \right] - x_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \right\} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{v_{j}}{x_{j}} (1-x_{j}) u_{j}(\mathbf{x}). \tag{2.6}$$

This equation, which holds for general $q_i(\mathbf{n})$ with $\sum_{i=1}^r q_i(\mathbf{n}) = 1$, will be useful later. The equation is vacuous if $\mathbf{x} = 1$, so that one of the original equations is redundant. The normalization condition is

$$p(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{r} u_i(1) = 1.$$
 (2.7)

We now consider the particular case

$$q_i(\mathbf{n}) = \frac{c_i n_i}{\sum_{l=1}^{r} c_l n_l},$$
 (2.8)

where $c_i > 0$ for $i \in (1, 2, ..., r)$, and multiply equations (2.3) and (2.4) by $c_i x_i$ and $\sum_{l=1}^{r} c_l n_l x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_r^{n_r}$, respectively, and sum on n. After some manipulations it is found that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{r} c_{l}x_{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j}K_{j}(1-x_{j})u_{i} \right]$$

$$= p(0)c_{i}\lambda_{i}K_{i}x_{i} + c_{i}x_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\nu_{j}u_{j}}{x_{j}} \right) - \sum_{l=1}^{r} c_{l}x_{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}} \left(\nu_{i}u_{i} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{l=1}^{r} c_{l}x_{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j}x_{j}(1-x_{j}) \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \right]. \tag{2.9}$$

We are interested in the means and covariances of the number of items of different types in the system, namely $E(n_i)$ and $E(n_in_k) - E(n_i)E(n_k)$. We note, from (2.5), that

$$E(n_j) = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} (1), \quad E(n_j n_k) = \sum_{i=1}^r \left[\frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} (1) + \delta_{jk} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} (1) \right]. \tag{2.10}$$

We now introduce the scalings corresponding to a large system in heavy traffic, and let

$$K_j = a \alpha_j, \quad \nu_j = a \mu_j, \quad j \in (1, 2, ..., r); \quad a >> 1,$$
 (2.11)

where

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\lambda_j \alpha_j}{\mu_j} > 1. \tag{2.12}$$

Since, from (2.10), we are interested in the behavior of $u_i(\mathbf{x})$ in the neighborhood of $\mathbf{x} = 1$, we also let

$$x_j = 1 - \xi_j/a, \quad u_j(\mathbf{x}) = \psi_j(\xi), \quad j \in (1, 2, ..., r).$$
 (2.13)

Then, from (2.6), (2.7) and (2.9), we have

$$p(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \psi_i(0) = 1, \qquad (2.14)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j} \xi_{j} \left\{ \alpha_{j} \left[p(\mathbf{0}) + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \psi_{i}(\xi) \right] + \left(1 - \frac{\xi_{j}}{a} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\partial \psi_{i}}{\partial \xi_{j}} \right\} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\mu_{j} \xi_{j}}{(1 - \xi_{j}/a)} \psi_{j}(\xi) , \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$\mu_{i} \sum_{l=1}^{r} c_{l} \left(1 - \frac{\xi_{l}}{a} \right) \frac{\partial \psi_{i}}{\partial \xi_{l}} - c_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j} \frac{(1 - \xi_{i}/a)}{(1 - \xi_{j}/a)} \frac{\partial \psi_{j}}{\partial \xi_{i}} - \frac{c_{i}\mu_{i}\psi_{i}}{a(1 - \xi_{i}/a)}$$

$$+ \frac{p(0)}{a} c_{i}\lambda_{i}\alpha_{i} \left(1 - \frac{\xi_{i}}{a} \right) + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j}\alpha_{j}\xi_{j} \sum_{l=1}^{r} c_{l} \left(1 - \frac{\xi_{l}}{a} \right) \frac{\partial \psi_{i}}{\partial \xi_{l}}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{j}\lambda_{j}\alpha_{j} \left(1 - \frac{\xi_{j}}{a} \right) \psi_{i} + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{j}\lambda_{j} \left(1 - \frac{\xi_{j}}{a} \right) \left(1 - \frac{2\xi_{j}}{a} \right) \frac{\partial \psi_{i}}{\partial \xi_{j}}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j}\xi_{j} \left(1 - \frac{\xi_{j}}{a} \right) \sum_{l=1}^{r} c_{l} \left(1 - \frac{\xi_{l}}{a} \right) \frac{\partial^{2}\psi_{i}}{\partial \xi_{j} \partial \xi_{l}} = 0.$$
(2.16)

We assume an asymptotic expansion of the form

$$\psi_i(\xi) \sim \psi_i^{(0)}(\xi) + \frac{1}{a} \psi_i^{(1)}(\xi) + \cdots, \quad p(0) \sim 0,$$
(2.17)

since we expect p(0), the probability that the system is empty, to be exponentially small in a. Then, to lowest order, from (2.16) we obtain

$$\mu_{i} \sum_{l=1}^{r} c_{l} \frac{\partial \psi_{i}^{(0)}}{\partial \xi_{l}} - c_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j} \frac{\partial \psi_{j}^{(0)}}{\partial \xi_{i}} = 0.$$
 (2.18)

These equations are satisfied by

$$\Psi_i^{(0)} = \frac{c_i}{\mu_i} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \xi_i} \,, \tag{2.19}$$

where the function $\theta(\xi)$ is to be determined. But, from (2.15) and (2.17), we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j} \xi_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left(\alpha_{j} \psi_{i}^{(0)} + \frac{\partial \psi_{i}^{(0)}}{\partial \xi_{j}} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j} \xi_{j} \psi_{j}^{(0)}. \tag{2.20}$$

We look for a solution of the form

$$\theta(\xi) = \theta(0) \exp\left(-\sum_{l=1}^{r} \beta_{l} \xi_{l}\right), \qquad (2.21)$$

so that, from (2.19),

$$\Psi_i^{(0)}(\xi) = -\frac{c_i \beta_i}{\mu_i} \, \theta(\xi), \qquad \frac{\partial \Psi_i^{(0)}}{\partial \xi_j} = \frac{c_i \beta_i}{\mu_i} \, \beta_j \, \theta(\xi). \tag{2.22}$$

We let

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{c_i \beta_i}{\mu_i}. \tag{2.23}$$

Then (2.20) is satisfied if

$$A \lambda_j(\alpha_j - \beta_j) = c_j \beta_j, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \beta_j = \frac{A \lambda_j \alpha_j}{(A \lambda_j + c_j)}.$$
 (2.24)

Since we want $\beta_j > 0$, $j \in (1, 2, ..., r)$, we want A > 0. But, (2.23) and (2.24) imply that

$$F(A) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{c_j \lambda_j \alpha_j}{\mu_j (A \lambda_j + c_j)} - 1 = 0.$$
 (2.25)

But F(0) > 0, because of assumption (2.12), and $F(+\infty) = -1$. Since F(A) is a decreasing function of A for A > 0, it follows that there is a unique solution A > 0 of F(A) = 0. From the normalization condition (2.14), and (2.17), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \psi_i^{(0)}(0) = 1. \tag{2.26}$$

It follows from (2.22) and (2.23) that

$$\theta(0) = -\frac{1}{A}. \tag{2.27}$$

This completes the determination of $\theta(\xi)$, and hence $\psi_i^{(0)}(\xi)$.

In the next section we determine $\psi_i^{(1)}(\xi)$. The reader who is not interested in the details may proceed to §4, where the asymptotic approximations to the means and covariances are evaluated.

3. THE CORRECTION TERM

We now consider the first order correction term $\psi_l^{(1)}(\xi)$ in the asymptotic expansion (2.17). It follows from (2.16) that

$$\mu_{i} \sum_{l=1}^{r} c_{l} \frac{\partial \psi_{i}^{(1)}}{\partial \xi_{l}} - c_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j} \frac{\partial \psi_{j}^{(1)}}{\partial \xi_{i}} = \mu_{i} \sum_{l=1}^{r} c_{l} \xi_{l} \frac{\partial \psi_{i}^{(0)}}{\partial \xi_{l}} + c_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j} (\xi_{j} - \xi_{i}) \frac{\partial \psi_{j}^{(0)}}{\partial \xi_{i}} + c_{i} \mu_{i} \psi_{i}^{(0)}$$

$$- \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j} \alpha_{j} \xi_{j} \sum_{l=1}^{r} c_{l} \frac{\partial \psi_{i}^{(0)}}{\partial \xi_{l}} - \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{j} \lambda_{j} \alpha_{j} \psi_{i}^{(0)}$$

$$- \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{j} \lambda_{j} \frac{\partial \psi_{i}^{(0)}}{\partial \xi_{j}} - \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j} \xi_{j} \sum_{l=1}^{r} c_{l} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{i}^{(0)}}{\partial \xi_{j} \partial \xi_{l}}. \quad (3.1)$$

It is found, from (2.21), (2.22) and (2.24), after some reduction, that

$$\mu_{i} \sum_{l=1}^{r} c_{l} \frac{\partial \psi_{i}^{(1)}}{\partial \xi_{l}} - c_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j} \frac{\partial \psi_{j}^{(1)}}{\partial \xi_{i}}$$

$$= \frac{c_{i}\beta_{i}}{A\mu_{i}} \theta(\xi) \left[\sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{j}^{2} \beta_{j} - Ac_{i} \mu_{i} + A\mu_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{j} \beta_{j} (2\xi_{j} - \xi_{i}) - \sum_{l=1}^{r} c_{l} \beta_{l} \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{j} \beta_{j} \xi_{j} \right]. (3.2)$$

We note that if we sum (3.2) on i, and use (2.23), then both sides of the equation are identically ze. j.

In view of (2.21), we let

$$\Psi_{i}^{(1)}(\xi) = \chi_{i}^{(1)}(\xi) \exp\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{r} \beta_{k} \xi_{k}\right), \qquad (3.3)$$

and we define

$$B = \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_j \beta_j, \qquad D = \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_j^2 \beta_j. \tag{3.4}$$

Then, since $\theta(0) = -1/A$,

$$\mu_{i} \sum_{l=1}^{r} c_{l} \left(\frac{\partial \chi_{i}^{(1)}}{\partial \xi_{l}} - \beta_{l} \chi_{i}^{(1)} \right) - c_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j} \left(\frac{\partial \chi_{j}^{(1)}}{\partial \xi_{i}} - \beta_{i} \chi_{j}^{(1)} \right)$$

$$= - \frac{c_{i} \beta_{i}}{A^{2} \mu_{i}} \left[D - A c_{i} \mu_{i} - A B \mu_{i} \xi_{i} + (2 A \mu_{i} - B) \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{j} \beta_{j} \xi_{j} \right]. \tag{3.5}$$

We look for a solution of the form

$$\chi_i^{(1)}(\xi) = \frac{c_i}{\mu_i} \left[\frac{\partial \phi^{(1)}}{\partial \xi_i} - \beta_i \phi^{(1)}(\xi) \right] + a_i + \sum_{k=1}^r b_{ik} \xi_k, \qquad (3.6)$$

and note that the terms involving $\Phi^{(1)}$ are annihilated by the operator on the left side of (3.5), i.e. they provide a solution of the homogeneous equation.

It is found that (3.5) is satisfied by (3.6) if

$$c_{i}\beta_{i}\sum_{j=1}^{r}\mu_{j}b_{jk}-B\mu_{i}b_{ik}=\frac{c_{i}\beta_{i}}{A^{2}\mu_{i}}\left[(B-2A\mu_{i})c_{k}\beta_{k}+AB\mu_{i}\delta_{ik}\right],$$
 (3.7)

and

$$c_i\beta_i \sum_{j=1}^r \mu_j a_j - B \mu_i a_i = c_i \sum_{j=1}^r \mu_j b_{ji} - \mu_i \sum_{l=1}^r c_l b_{il} + \frac{c_i\beta_i}{A^2\mu_i} (Ac_i\mu_i - D).$$
 (3.8)

If we sum (3.7) and (3.8) on i, and use (2.23) and (3.4), then both sides of each equation are identically zero. Since we are looking for a particular solution of the inhomogeneous equation, we may take

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j} b_{jk} = 0, \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j} a_{j} = 0.$$
 (3.9)

Then (3.7) and (3.8) imply that

$$b_{ik} = \frac{c_i \beta_i}{AB \mu_i} \left[\left(2 - \frac{B}{A \mu_i} \right) c_k \beta_k - B \delta_{ik} \right], \qquad (3.10)$$

and

$$a_i = \frac{2c_i\beta_i}{AB^2\mu_i} (D - Bc_i). {(3.11)}$$

It remains to determine the single function $\phi^{(1)}(\xi)$ in (3.6). But, from (2.15), (2.17) and (2.20), we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j} \xi_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left(\alpha_{j} \psi_{i}^{(1)} + \frac{\partial \psi_{i}^{(1)}}{\partial \xi_{j}} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j} \xi_{j} \psi_{j}^{(1)}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j} \xi_{j}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\partial \psi_{i}^{(0)}}{\partial \xi_{j}} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j} \xi_{j}^{2} \psi_{j}^{(0)}. \tag{3.12}$$

It follows, from (2.21)-(2.24), (2.27) and (3.3), that

$$\frac{1}{A} \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{j} \beta_{j} \xi_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \chi_{i}^{(1)} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j} \xi_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\partial \chi_{i}^{(1)}}{\partial \xi_{j}} - \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j} \xi_{j} \chi_{j}^{(1)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{A} \sum_{j=1}^{r} (c_{j} - A \lambda_{j}) \beta_{j} \xi_{j}^{2}.$$
(3.13)

Then, from (3.6), we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j} \xi_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{c_{i}}{\mu_{i}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi^{(1)}}{\partial \xi_{i}} + \frac{1}{A} \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{j} \beta_{j} \xi_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{c_{i}}{\mu_{i}} \frac{\partial \Phi^{(1)}}{\partial \xi_{i}} - \sum_{j=1}^{r} (A \lambda_{j} + c_{j}) \xi_{j} \frac{\partial \Phi^{(1)}}{\partial \xi_{j}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{A} \sum_{j=1}^{r} (c_{j} - A \lambda_{j}) \beta_{j} \xi_{j}^{2} - \frac{1}{A} \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{j} \beta_{j} \xi_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left(a_{i} + \sum_{k=1}^{r} b_{ik} \xi_{k} \right)$$

$$- \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j} \xi_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{r} b_{ij} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j} \xi_{j} \left(a_{j} + \sum_{k=1}^{r} b_{jk} \xi_{k} \right). \tag{3.14}$$

We look for a solution of the form

$$\Phi^{(1)}(\xi) = \kappa + \sum_{k=1}^{r} \gamma_k \xi_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sum_{l=1}^{r} \omega_{kl} \xi_k \xi_l, \qquad (3.15)$$

where we assume, without loss of generality, that

$$\omega_{kl} = \omega_{lk} \,. \tag{3.16}$$

We define

$$g_{jk} = \frac{c_j \beta_j}{A} \sum_{i=1}^r b_{ik} - \mu_j b_{jk} + \frac{1}{A} (A \lambda_j - c_j) \beta_j \delta_{jk}, \qquad (3.17)$$

$$\Gamma_j = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{c_i}{\mu_i} \, \omega_{ij} \,, \tag{3.18}$$

$$h_j = \lambda_j \Gamma_j + \frac{c_j \beta_j}{A} \sum_{i=1}^r a_i + \lambda_j \sum_{i=1}^r b_{ij} - \mu_j a_j,$$
 (3.19)

and

$$\epsilon = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{c_i \gamma_i}{\mu_i} \,. \tag{3.20}$$

Then, it follows from (3.14)-(3.20) that

(3.21)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \xi_{j} \xi_{k} \left[\frac{c_{j} \beta_{j}}{A} \Gamma_{k} - (A \lambda_{j} + c_{j}) \omega_{jk} + g_{jk} \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \xi_{j} \left[\frac{\epsilon}{A} c_{j} \beta_{j} - (A \lambda_{j} + c_{j}) \gamma_{j} + h_{j} \right] = 0.$$

In view of the symmetry in (3.16), we deduce from (3.21) that

$$[(A\lambda_j+c_j)+(A\lambda_k+c_k)]\omega_{jk}=\frac{1}{A}(c_j\beta_j\Gamma_k+c_k\beta_k\Gamma_j)+g_{jk}+g_{kj}. \qquad (3.22)$$

Hence,

$$\omega_{jk} = \frac{(c_j \beta_j \Gamma_k + c_k \beta_k \Gamma_j)}{A[(A\lambda_j + c_j) + (A\lambda_k + c_k)]} + f_{jk}, \qquad (3.23)$$

where

$$f_{jk} = \frac{(g_{jk} + g_{kj})}{[(A\lambda_j + c_j) + (A\lambda_k + c_k)]} = f_{kj}.$$
 (3.24)

We define

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{c_i \beta_i}{\mu_i^2}.$$
 (3.25)

Then, from (2.23), (3.4), (3.10), (3.17) and (3.24), we obtain

$$g_{jk} = \frac{1}{A^2} c_j \beta_j c_k \beta_k \left(\frac{1}{\mu_j} - \frac{1}{\mu_k} - \frac{C}{A} \right) + \lambda_j \beta_j \delta_{jk},$$
 (3.26)

and

$$f_{jk} = \frac{2(\lambda_j \beta_j \delta_{jk} - \frac{C}{A^3} c_j \beta_j c_k \beta_k)}{[(A\lambda_j + c_j) + (A\lambda_k + c_k)]}.$$
 (3.27)

From (3.18) and (3.23) we have

$$\Gamma_{k} = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{c_{j}(c_{j}\beta_{j}\Gamma_{k} + c_{k}\beta_{k}\Gamma_{j})}{\mu_{j}[(A\lambda_{j} + c_{j}) + (A\lambda_{k} + c_{k})]} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{c_{j}}{\mu_{j}} f_{jk}, \qquad (3.28)$$

which is a linear system of equations for Γ_k , $k \in (1, 2, ..., r)$, with known coefficients, which has to be solved numerically, in general. Once the Γ_k have been calculated, ω_{jk} is given by (3.23). Also, h_j is given by (3.19). We define

$$G = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{c_i^2 \beta_i}{\mu_i}.$$
 (3.29)

Then, from (2.23), (3.4), (3.10) and (3.11), we obtain

$$h_j = \lambda_j \Gamma_j + c_j \beta_j \left[\lambda_j \left(\frac{2}{B} - \frac{C}{A^2} - \frac{1}{A\mu_i} \right) + \frac{2}{A^2 B} (Ac_j - G) \right].$$
 (3.30)

Next, from (3.21), we deduce that

$$(A\lambda_j + c_j)\gamma_j = \frac{\epsilon}{A} c_j \beta_j + h_j. \tag{3.31}$$

Hence, from (3.20), we find that

$$\epsilon \left[1 - \frac{1}{A} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{c_j^2 \beta_j}{\mu_j (A \lambda_j + c_j)} \right] = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{c_j h_j}{\mu_j (A \lambda_j + c_j)}. \tag{3.32}$$

But, from (2.23),

$$1 - \frac{1}{A} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{c_j^2 \beta_j}{\mu_j (A \lambda_j + c_j)} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{c_j \beta_j \lambda_j}{\mu_j (A \lambda_j + c_j)} > 0.$$
 (3.33)

Hence ϵ is determined, and then γ_j is given by (3.31).

It remains to determine the constant κ in (3.15). But from the normalization condition (2.14), and (2.17) and (3.3),

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \psi_i^{(1)}(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \chi_i^{(1)}(0).$$
 (3.34)

It follows from (3.6) and (3.15) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \left[\frac{c_i}{\mu_i} \left(\gamma_i - \beta_i \kappa \right) + a_i \right] = 0.$$
 (3.35)

Hence, from (2.23), (3.11), (3.20) and (3.29), we obtain

$$\kappa = \frac{\epsilon}{A} + \frac{2}{A^2 B^2} (AD - BG). \tag{3.36}$$

This completes the determination of $\phi^{(1)}(\xi)$, and hence $\chi_i^{(1)}(\xi)$ and $\psi_i^{(1)}(\xi)$.

4. THE MEANS AND COVARIANCES

We now evaluate the asymptotic approximations to the means and covariances of the number of items of different types in the system. From (2.10) and (2.13) we have

$$E(n_j) = -a \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial \xi_j}(0), \quad E(n_j n_k) = a^2 \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\partial^2 \psi_i}{\partial \xi_j \partial \xi_k}(0) + \delta_{jk} E(n_j). \tag{4.1}$$

Hence, from (2.17), we obtain the asymptotic approximations

$$E(n_j) \sim -\sum_{i=1}^r \left[a \frac{\partial \psi_i^{(0)}}{\partial \xi_j}(\mathbf{0}) + \frac{\partial \psi_i^{(1)}}{\partial \xi_j}(\mathbf{0}) + \cdots \right], \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$E(n_j n_k) - \delta_{jk} E(n_j) \sim \sum_{i=1}^r \left[a^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi_i^{(0)}}{\partial \xi_i \partial \xi_k} (\mathbf{0}) + a \frac{\partial^2 \psi_i^{(1)}}{\partial \xi_j \partial \xi_k} (\mathbf{0}) + \cdots \right]. \tag{4.3}$$

From (2.22), (2.23) and (2.27) it follows that

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\partial \psi_i^{(0)}}{\partial \xi_j}(0) = \beta_j. \tag{4.4}$$

Also, from (3.3), (3.6) and (3.15), we have

$$\frac{\partial \psi_i^{(1)}}{\partial \xi_i}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{c_i}{\mu_i} (\omega_{ij} - \beta_i \gamma_j) + b_{ij} - \beta_j \chi_i^{(1)}(\mathbf{0}). \tag{4.5}$$

Hence, from (2.23), (3.10), (3.18), (3.25) and (3.34), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\partial \psi_i^{(1)}}{\partial \xi_i}(\mathbf{0}) = \Gamma_j - A\gamma_j + c_j \beta_j \left(\frac{2}{B} - \frac{C}{A^2} - \frac{1}{A\mu_i}\right). \tag{4.6}$$

From (4.2), (4.4) and (4.6) we find the asymptotic approximations to the means,

$$E(n_j) \sim a\beta_j + \left[A\gamma_j - \Gamma_j + c_j\beta_j \left(\frac{1}{A\mu_i} + \frac{C}{A^2} - \frac{2}{B}\right)\right] + \cdots$$
 (4.7)

The quantities β_j are given by (2.24), where A is the positive root of (2.25), and B and C are given by (3.4) and (3.25). Also Γ_j , $j \in (1, 2, ..., r)$, satisfy the linear system of equations (3.28), subject to (3.27), and γ_j is determined from (3.29)-(3.32).

Next, from (2.19), (2.21), (2.23) and (2.27), it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_i^{(0)}}{\partial \xi_j \partial \xi_k} (\mathbf{0}) = \beta_j \beta_k. \tag{4.8}$$

Hence, from (4.2)-(4.4) and (4.8), we obtain

$$E(n_{j}n_{k}) - E(n_{j})E(n_{k})$$

$$\sim a \left\{ \beta_{j} \delta_{jk} + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left[\frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{i}^{(1)}}{\partial E_{i} \partial E_{k}} (\mathbf{0}) + \beta_{j} \frac{\partial \psi_{i}^{(1)}}{\partial E_{k}} (\mathbf{0}) + \beta_{k} \frac{\partial \psi_{i}^{(1)}}{\partial E_{i}} (\mathbf{0}) \right] \right\} + \cdots$$
(4.9)

But, from (3.3) and (3.34),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \psi_i^{(1)}}{\partial \xi_j \partial \xi_k} (0) + \beta_j \frac{\partial \psi_i^{(1)}}{\partial \xi_k} (0) + \beta_k \frac{\partial \psi_i^{(1)}}{\partial \xi_j} (0) \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\partial^2 \chi_i^{(1)}}{\partial \xi_j \partial \xi_k} (0). \tag{4.10}$$

Also, from (2.23), (3.6), (3.15) and (3.16), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\partial^2 \chi_i^{(1)}}{\partial \xi_j \partial \xi_k} (0) = -A \omega_{jk}. \tag{4.11}$$

From (4.9)-(4.11) we find the asymptotic approximations to the covariances,

$$E(n_j n_k) - E(n_j) E(n_k) \sim a(\beta_j \delta_{jk} - A \omega_{jk}) + \cdots, \qquad (4.12)$$

where ω_{jk} is given by (3.23) and (3.27). We note that the covariances, as well as the means, are of order a.

We now consider those systems for which

$$\lambda_j = \lambda, \quad c_j = c, \quad j \in (1, 2, ..., r),$$
 (4.13)

since it is then possible to explicitly solve (2.25) for A and (3.28) for Γ_k . We note that assumption (2.12) is now

$$\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\alpha_j}{\mu_j} > 1. \tag{4.14}$$

The solution of (2.25) is found to be

$$A = c \left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\alpha_j}{\mu_j} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right). \tag{4.15}$$

Also, from (2.24),

$$\beta_j = \frac{A\lambda\alpha_j}{(A\lambda+c)},\tag{4.16}$$

and, from (3.27),

$$f_{jk} = \frac{\beta_j}{(A\lambda + c)} \left(\lambda \delta_{jk} - \frac{c^2 C}{A^3} \beta_k \right), \tag{4.17}$$

where, from (3.25),

$$C = c \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\beta_i}{\mu_i^2}.$$
 (4.18)

We define

$$\Omega = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\Gamma_j}{\mu_j}.$$
 (4.19)

Then, from (3.28) and (4.15)-(4.17),

$$A(2A\lambda+c)\Gamma_k = c^2\Omega\beta_k + 2cA\beta_k \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu_k} - \frac{cC}{A^2}\right). \tag{4.20}$$

If we divide (4.20) by μ_k and sum, we find that

$$\Omega = \frac{C}{A^2 \lambda} (A \lambda - c). \tag{4.21}$$

Hence, from (4.20),

$$\Gamma_k = \frac{c\beta_k}{(2A\lambda + c)} \left[\frac{2\lambda}{\mu_k} - \frac{cC}{A^3\lambda} (A\lambda + c) \right]. \tag{4.22}$$

It follows from (3.23), (4.17) and (4.22) that

$$\beta_j \delta_{jk} - A \omega_{jk}$$

$$=\frac{c\beta_{j}\delta_{jk}}{(A\lambda+c)}+\frac{c^{2}\beta_{j}\beta_{k}}{(A\lambda+c)(2A\lambda+c)}\left[\frac{C}{A^{3}\lambda}(2A^{2}\lambda^{2}+2A\lambda c+c^{2})-\lambda\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{j}}+\frac{1}{\mu_{k}}\right)\right],$$
 (4.23)

which gives an explicit expression for the asymptotic approximations (4.12) to the covariances.

It remains to calculate the first order correction term to the means in (4.7). The quantities h_j , ϵ and γ_j are obtained in a straightforward margin from (3.29)-(3.32) and

(4.22). It is then found from (4.7) that

$$E(n_j) \sim a\beta_j + \frac{c^3\beta_j}{A(A\lambda+c)(2A\lambda+c)} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_j} - \frac{C}{A}\right) + \cdots$$
 (4.24)

Since our approximation (4.12) to the covariances differs from that obtained by the diffusion approximation [1], we give an alternate derivation of our results in the appendix. Corresponding to the scalings in (2.11) we let $n_j = a\beta_j + \sqrt{a} v_j$ in (2.4), with $q_i(\mathbf{n})$ given by (2.8). We then develop an asymptotic expansion in inverse powers of \sqrt{a} for $\Phi_i(\mathbf{v}) = a^{r/2} p_i(\mathbf{n})$. It is found that, to lowest order, $\mu_i \Phi_i(\mathbf{v}) \sim c_i \beta_i \Phi^{(0)}(\mathbf{v})$, where $A \Phi^{(0)}(\mathbf{v})$ is a multivariate Gaussian probability density function. From this we are able to obtain the lowest order approximations to the means and covariances, and we again obtain (4.12) and $E(n_j) = a\beta_j + O(1)$. Although we omit the rather lengthy details, we have in fact carried out the analysis to the next order in the asymptotic expansion, and have verified that it leads to the approximation (4.7) to $E(n_j)$. It is of interest that the asymptotic expansions of the densities are in inverse powers of \sqrt{a} , whereas the expansions of the moments are in inverse powers of a.

The difference in the equation for the covariances between our approximation and the diffusion approximation [1] is pointed out in the appendix.

5. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

In order to check the accuracy of the approximations proposed, several systems were both simulated and approximated. In all cases studied the agreement between the asymptotic expansion approximation and simulation was excellent for both mean and standard deviation of the steady-state distribution. The mean of the diffusion approximation agrees with the lowest-order asymptotic approximation; the standard deviation of the current diffusion approximation agrees precisely with the lowest-order

asymptotic approximation only when the service rates are identical, but correspondence is quite close numerically for all situations investigated to date.

Here are the systems, and their properties.

				$\frac{\text{em } 1}{=5)}$			
	i:	1	2	3	4	5	
	K_i :	100	110	120	130	140	
	λ_i :	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	
	v_i :	50	100	300	400	450	
	c_i :	1	1	1	1	1	
<u>Means</u>							
Asymptotic:	81.40	8	9.54	97	.68	105.82	113.96
Simulation:	81.14		9.39		.47	105.60	113.74
(95% Conf.)	(81.04, 81.24)	(89.2	3, 89.54)	(97.37,	, 97.57)	(105.42, 105.79)	(113.53, 113.95)
Std. Deviations							
Asymptotic:	3.73		4.38	4.	93	5.23	5.50
Diffusion:	3.75		4.38		91	5.20	5.47
Simulation:	3.75		4.38	4.	89	5.24	5.56
(95% Conf.)	(3.67, 3.82)		1, 4.45)	(4.80	, 4.97)	(5.17, 5.31)	(5.48, 5.65)

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$\frac{\text{tem 2}}{=5)}$		
	<i>i</i> :	1 2	3 4	5	
	K_i :	50 100	150 200	250	
	λ_i :	1.3 1.3	1.3 1.3	1.3	
	v_i :	50 100	300 400	450	
	c_i :	1 1	1 1	1	
Means					
Asymptotic:	39.18	78.37	117.55	156.73	195.91
Simulation:	39.10	78.17	117.35	156.26	195.57
(95% Conf.):	(39.03, 39.18)	(78.05, 78.28)	(117.12, 117.57)	(155.95, 156.57)	(195.30, 195.84)
Std. Deviations					
Asymptotic:	2.78	4.25	5.85	7.13	8.34
Diffusion:	2.85	4.33	5.90	7.24	8.56
Simulation:	2.78	4.21	5.90	7.19	8.33
(95% Conf.):	(2.75, 2.80)	(4.16, 4.27)	(5.81, 6.00)	(7.13, 7.25)	(8.26, 8.40)

The simulations were carried out on an IBM 3033 computer at the Naval Postgraduate School, using the LLRANDOMII random number operating package; Lewis and Uribe [5]. Time-dependent queue lengths were simulated: an event clock was advanced at either job arrivals or service completions, and queue lengths were suitably incremented or decremented. The current queue lengths were recorded at fixed discrete time steps; 500 independent replications were recorded. A batch mean process was utilized to obtain the confidence limits. For further details see Pilnick [6].

For the two systems displayed, and for many others explored, the leading term asymptotic agreement with simulation was well within the 95% confidence limits displayed for the latter. Diffusion approximation was also good, but slightly less accurate than the asymptotics described here. A full description of the diffusion approximation approach

taken is given in Gaver, Jacobs and Pilnick [1]. The small size of the standard deviations as compared to their means is noticeable: certainly there is little resemblance of the current system behavior to that of a system with multi-type Poisson arrivals for service. In the latter situation the queue length standard deviation will be close to the corresponding mean queue lengths in heavy traffic. Of course in the case of multi-type Poisson arrivals there will be a steady-state solution only if a suitable traffic intensity for the system is less than unity; no such condition need be satisfied for the finite systems considered in this paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are grateful to S. E. Pilnick for obtaining the simulated results.

APPENDIX

We here give an alternate derivation of the asymptotic approximations to the means and covariances of the number of items of different types in the system. Corresponding to the scalings in (2.11), we let

$$n_j = a \beta_j + \sqrt{a} v_j, \quad p_i(\mathbf{n}) = \phi_i(\mathbf{v})/a^{r/2},$$
 (A1)

and it will be shown that β_j is given by (2.24). From (2.4) and (2.8), we have

$$\mu_{i}\phi_{i}(\mathbf{v}) + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j} \left(\alpha_{j} - \beta_{j} - \frac{v_{j}}{\sqrt{a}}\right) \left[\phi_{i}(\mathbf{v}) - \phi_{i}(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{e}_{j}/\sqrt{a})\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j}\phi_{i}(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{e}_{j}/\sqrt{a}) + \frac{c_{i}(\beta_{i} + v_{i}/\sqrt{a})}{\sum\limits_{k=1}^{r} c_{k}(\beta_{k} + v_{k}/\sqrt{a})} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j}\phi_{j}(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_{j}/\sqrt{a}). \tag{A2}$$

If we expand in inverse powers of \sqrt{a} , we obtain

$$\mu_{i}\phi_{i}(\mathbf{v}) + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j} \left(\alpha_{j} - \beta_{j} - \frac{v_{j}}{\sqrt{a}}\right) \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial v_{j}}(\mathbf{v}) + \cdots\right] - \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j} [\phi_{i}(\mathbf{v}) + \cdots]$$

$$= \frac{c_{i}}{B} \left[\beta_{i} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \left(v_{i} - \frac{\beta_{i}}{B} \sum_{k=1}^{r} c_{k} v_{k}\right) + \cdots\right] \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j} \left[\phi_{j}(\mathbf{v}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \frac{\partial \phi_{j}}{\partial v_{j}}(\mathbf{v}) + \cdots\right], \quad (A3)$$

where B is given by (3.4).

If we sum (A2) with respect to i, we find that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \mu_{i} \phi_{i}(\mathbf{v}) + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j} \left(\alpha_{j} - \beta_{j} - \frac{v_{j}}{\sqrt{a}} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left[\phi_{i}(\mathbf{v}) - \phi_{i}(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{e}_{j} / \sqrt{a}) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \phi_{i}(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{e}_{j} / \sqrt{a}) + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j} \phi_{j}(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_{j} / \sqrt{a}). \tag{A4}$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j} \left(\alpha_{j} - \beta_{j} - \frac{\nu_{j}}{\sqrt{a}} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left[\frac{\partial \Phi_{i}}{\partial \nu_{j}} (\mathbf{v}) - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{a}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{i}}{\partial \nu_{j}^{2}} (\mathbf{v}) + \cdots \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left[\Phi_{i}(\mathbf{v}) + \cdots \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j} \left[\frac{\partial \Phi_{j}}{\partial \nu_{j}} (\mathbf{v}) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{a}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{j}}{\partial \nu_{j}^{2}} (\mathbf{v}) + \cdots \right]. \tag{A5}$$

We assume an asymptotic expansion of the form

$$\phi_i(\mathbf{v}) \sim \phi_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{v}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \phi_i^{(1)}(\mathbf{v}) + \frac{1}{a} \phi_i^{(2)}(\mathbf{v}) + \cdots$$
 (A6)

Then, from (A3), we obtain

$$\mu_i \phi_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{c_i \beta_i}{B} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^r \mu_j \phi_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{v}) = c_i \beta_i \Phi^{(0)}(\mathbf{v}), \qquad (A7)$$

where $\Phi^{(0)}(\mathbf{v})$ is to be determined. But, from (A5),

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j}(\alpha_{j} - \beta_{j}) \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}^{(0)}}{\partial v_{j}} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j} \frac{\partial \phi_{j}^{(0)}}{\partial v_{j}}.$$
 (A8)

It follows from (2.23) and (A7) that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} \left[A \lambda_{j} (\alpha_{j} - \beta_{j}) - c_{j} \beta_{j} \right] \frac{\partial \Phi^{(0)}}{\partial \nu_{j}} = 0.$$
 (A9)

This equation is satisfied if (2.24) holds, which we assume to be the case.

Next, from (A3) and (A6), we have

$$\mu_{i}\phi_{i}^{(1)}(\mathbf{v}) - \frac{c_{i}\beta_{i}}{B} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j}\phi_{j}^{(1)}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{c_{i}}{B} \left(v_{i} - \frac{\beta_{i}}{B} \sum_{k=1}^{r} c_{k}v_{k}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j}\phi_{j}^{(0)}(\mathbf{v}) + \frac{c_{i}\beta_{i}}{B} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j} \frac{\partial \phi_{j}^{(0)}}{\partial v_{j}} - \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j}(\alpha_{j} - \beta_{j}) \frac{\partial \phi_{i}^{(0)}}{\partial v_{j}}.$$
(A10)

Hence, from (2.24) and (A7), we obtain

$$\mu_{i} \Phi_{i}^{(1)}(\mathbf{v}) = c_{i} \beta_{i} \Phi^{(1)}(\mathbf{v}) + c_{i} \left(v_{i} - \frac{\beta_{i}}{B} \sum_{k=1}^{r} c_{k} v_{k} \right) \Phi^{(0)}(\mathbf{v})$$

$$+ c_{i} \beta_{i} \left(\frac{1}{B} - \frac{1}{A \mu_{i}} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{j} \beta_{j} \frac{\partial \Phi^{(0)}}{\partial v_{j}}, \qquad (A11)$$

where $\Phi^{(1)}$ is to be determined. But, from (A5) and (A6),

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j}(\alpha_{j} - \beta_{j}) \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\partial \Phi_{i}^{(1)}}{\partial \nu_{j}} - \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j} \frac{\partial \Phi_{j}^{(1)}}{\partial \nu_{j}}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j} \nu_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\partial \Phi_{i}^{(0)}}{\partial \nu_{j}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j} (\alpha_{j} - \beta_{j}) \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{i}^{(0)}}{\partial \nu_{j}^{2}}$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \Phi_{i}^{(0)}(\mathbf{v}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{j}^{(0)}}{\partial \nu_{j}^{2}}. \tag{A12}$$

From (A7), (A11) and (A12), with the help of (2.23), (2.24) and (3.25), it is found, after considerable reduction, that

$$\frac{1}{A} \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{j} \beta_{j} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_{j}} - \frac{C}{A} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{r} c_{k} \beta_{k} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi^{(0)}}{\partial \nu_{j} \partial \nu_{k}} - \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{j} \beta_{j} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi^{(0)}}{\partial \nu_{j}^{2}} + \frac{1}{A} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{c_{k}}{\mu_{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{j} \beta_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{j}} (\nu_{k} \Phi^{(0)}) - \sum_{j=1}^{r} (A \lambda_{j} + c_{j}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{j}} (\nu_{j} \Phi^{(0)}) = 0, \quad (A13)$$

an equation involving $\Phi^{(0)}$ only. From (A1) the normalization condition implies asymptotically, from the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula [7], that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \phi_i(\mathbf{v}) dv_1 \cdots dv_r = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \phi_i(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} \sim 1.$$
 (A14)

Hence, from (A6),

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \phi_{i}^{(0)}(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} = 1, \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \phi_{i}^{(1)}(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} = 0.$$
 (A15)

It follows from (2.23) and (A7) that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi^{(0)}(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{A}.$$
 (A16)

We let

$$M_i = A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v_i \Phi^{(0)}(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v}. \tag{A17}$$

Then from (A13), after multiplication by v_i and some integrations by parts, we obtain

$$(A\lambda_i + c_i)M_i = c_i\beta_i \frac{\Delta}{A}, \qquad \Delta = \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{c_k}{\mu_k} M_k. \tag{A18}$$

Hence,

$$\left[1 - \frac{1}{A} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{c_i^2 \beta_i}{\mu_i (A \lambda_i + c_i)}\right] \Delta = 0. \tag{A19}$$

It follows from (3.33) that $\Delta=0$, and then from (A18) that $M_i=0$. Consequently, from (A1), (A6), (A7), (A15) and (A17), $E(n_j)=a\beta_j+O(1)$. We have in fact carried out the analysis to the next order in the expansion (A6), and have verified that it leads to the asymptotic approximation (4.7) to $E(n_j)$. However, we omit the rather lengthy details.

Next we consider the covariances, and let

$$M_{il} = A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v_i v_l \Phi^{(0)}(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v}.$$
 (A20)

If we integrate by parts and use (A16), we obtain

$$A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v_i v_l \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^{(0)}}{\partial v_j \partial v_k} d\mathbf{v} = \delta_{ij} \delta_{ik} + \delta_{lj} \delta_{ik}, \tag{A21}$$

and

$$A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v_i v_l \frac{\partial}{\partial v_j} (v_k \Phi^{(0)}) d\mathbf{v} = -(\delta_{ij} M_{lk} + \delta_{lj} M_{ik}). \tag{A22}$$

Hence, from (A13), we find that

$$[(A\lambda_{i}+c_{i})+(A\lambda_{l}+c_{l})]M_{il}-\frac{1}{A}\sum_{k=1}^{r}\frac{c_{k}}{\mu_{k}}(c_{i}\beta_{i}M_{lk}+c_{l}\beta_{l}M_{ik})$$

$$=2c_{i}\beta_{i}\delta_{il}+\frac{1}{A}c_{i}\beta_{i}c_{l}\beta_{l}\left(\frac{2C}{A}-\frac{1}{\mu_{i}}-\frac{1}{\mu_{l}}\right). \tag{A23}$$

If we let

$$M_{il} = \beta_i \, \delta_{il} - A \, \omega_{il} \,, \tag{A24}$$

then we obtain equation (3.23) for ω_{jk} , where Γ_j and f_{jk} are given by (3.18) and (3.27). The asymptotic approximations (4.12) to the covariances follow from (A1), (A6), (A7), (A20) and (A24).

We remark that in the diffusion approximation [1] to the covariances, the off-diagonal terms on the right-hand side of equation (A23) are absent, although the diagonal terms agree exactly.

We will now show that $A \Phi^{(0)}(\mathbf{v})$ is a zero-mean multivariate Gaussian probability density function. We introduce the characteristic function

$$\chi^{(0)}(y) = A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{y}} \Phi^{(0)}(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v}, \quad \mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{y} = \sum_{l=1}^{r} v_l y_l,$$
 (A25)

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$. If we integrate by parts, we obtain

$$A\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{y}} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^{(0)}}{\partial v_j \partial v_k} d\mathbf{v} = -y_j y_k \chi^{(0)}(\mathbf{y}), \qquad (A26)$$

and

$$A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{y}} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_j} (v_k \Phi^{(0)}) d\mathbf{v} = -y_j \frac{\partial \chi^{(0)}}{\partial y_k}. \tag{A27}$$

It follows from (A13) that

$$\left[\sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{j} \beta_{j} y_{j}^{2} - \frac{1}{A} \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{j} \beta_{j} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_{j}} - \frac{C}{A} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{r} c_{k} \beta_{k} y_{j} y_{k} \right] \chi^{(0)}(\mathbf{y})
- \frac{1}{A} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{c_{k}}{\mu_{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{j} \beta_{j} y_{j} \frac{\partial \chi^{(0)}}{\partial y_{k}} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} (A \lambda_{j} + c_{j}) y_{j} \frac{\partial \chi^{(0)}}{\partial y_{j}} = 0.$$
(A28)

But, from (A16), (A17), (A20) and (A25), since $M_i = 0$, we have

$$\chi^{(0)}(0) = 1, \quad \frac{\partial \chi^{(0)}}{\partial y_i}(0) = 0, \quad -\frac{\partial^2 \chi^{(0)}}{\partial y_i \partial y_k}(0) = M_{jk}.$$
 (A29)

It is straightforward to verify, with the help of (A23), that (A28) and (A29) are satisfied by

$$\chi^{(0)}(y) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{r} \sum_{m=1}^{r} M_{lm} y_l y_m\right), \tag{A30}$$

which establishes the desired result [8].

REFERENCES

- [1] D. P. Gaver, P. A. Jacobs and S. E. Pilnick, Multi-type repair problems in heavy traffic, in preparation.
- [2] D. Towsley, Queueing network models with state-dependent routing, J. of the ACM, 27 (1980), pp. 332-337.
- [3] D. D. Yao and J. A. Buzacott, Modeling a class of state-dependent routing in flexible manufacturing systems, Annals of Operations Research, 3 (1985), pp. 153-167.
- [4] W. Feller, An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications, Vol. I, Wiley, New York, 1964.
- [5] P. A. W. Lewis and L. C. Uribe, The Naval Postgraduate School random number generator package LLRANDOMII, Technical Report PS-55-81-005, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, 1981.
- [6] S. E. Pilnick, Combat logistics problems, Naval Postgraduate School Dissertation, Monterey, CA, June 1989.
- [7] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, DC, 1964, p. 886.
- [8] W. B. Davenport, Jr. and W. L. Root, An Introduction to the Theory of Random Signals and Noise, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1958, p. 152.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

1.	Library (Code 0142)
2.	Defense Technical Information Center
3.	Office of Research Administration (Code 012)
4.	Prof. Peter Purdue
5.	Department of Operations Research (Code 55)
6.	Prof. Donald Gaver, Code OR-Gv
7.	Prof. Patricia Jacobs
8.	Center for Naval Analyses
9.	Dr. David Brillinger

10.	Dr. R. Gnanadesikan
11.	Prof. Bernard Harris
12.	Prof. W. M. Hinich
13.	Prof. I. R. Savage
14.	Prof. W. R. Schucany
15.	Prof. D. C. Siegmund 1 Dept. of Statistics Sequoia Hall Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305
16.	Prof. H. Solomon 1 Department of Statistics Sequoia Hall Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305
17.	Dr. Ed Wegman
18.	Dr. P. Welch

19.	Dr. Neil Gerr
20.	Prof. Roy Welsch
21.	Dr. J. Abrahams 1 Code 1111, Room 607 Mathematical Sciences Division Office of Naval Research 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000
22.	Prof. J. R. Thompson
23.	Dr. P. Heidelberger 1 IBM Research Laboratory Yorktown Heights New York, NY 10598
24.	Prof. M. Leadbetter 1 Department of Statistics University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, NC 27514
25.	Prof. D. L. Iglehart
26.	Prof. J. B. Kadane

27.	Prof. J. Lehoczky
28.	Dr. J. Maar (R513)
29.	Prof. M. Mazumdar
30.	Prof. M. Rosenblatt
31.	Prof. H. Chernoff
32.	Dr. T. J. Ott
33.	Dr. Alan Weiss
34.	Prof. Joseph R. Gani
35.	Prof. Frank Samaniego

36.	Dr. James McKenna
37.	Prof. R. Douglas Martin
38.	Dr. D. C. Hoaglin
39.	Prof. N. D. Singpurwalla
40.	Center for Naval Analysis
41.	Prof. George S. Fishman
42.	Dr. Alan F. Petty
43.	Prof. Bradley Efron

44.	Prof. Carl N. Morris
4 5.	Dr. John E. Rolph
46.	Prof. Linda V. Green
4 7.	Dr. David Burman
48.	Dr. Ed Coffman
49.	Prof. William Jewell
50.	Prof. D. C. Siegmund
51.	Operations Research Center, Rm E40-164

52.	Arthur P. Hurter, Jr
53.	Institute for Defense Analysis
54.	Prof. J. W. Tukey
55.	Dr. Daniel H. Wagner
56.	Dr. Colin Mallows
57.	Dr. Jon Kettenring
58.	Dr. S. R. Dalal 1 AT&T Bell Telephone Laboratories Mountain Avenue Murray Hill, NJ 07974
59.	Dr. M. J. Fischer
60.	Dr. Prabha Kumar Defense Communications Agency

61.	Dr. B. Doshi
62.	Dr. D. M. Lucantoni
63.	Dr. V. Ramaswami
64.	Prof. G. Shantikumar
65.	Dr. D. F. Daley
66.	Dr. Guy Fayolle
67.	Professor H. G. Daellenbach
68.	Koh Peng Kong

69.	Professor Sir David Cox
70.	Dr. A. J. Lawrence
71.	Dr. F. P. Kelly
72.	Dr. R. J. Gibbens 1 Statistics Laboratory 16 Mill Lane Cambridge ENGLAND
73.	Dr. John Copas
74.	Dr. D. Vere-Jones

,

7 5.	Prof. Guy Latouche1
	University Libre Bruxelles
	C. P. 212
	Blvd. De Triomphe
	B-1050 Bruxelles
	BELGIUM

ŧ